UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,195	06/03/2002	Hans-Jurgen Hannig	LSP-4	6251
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 06/24/200 RCANTI. LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AV	*	GARCIA, ERNESTO		
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/019,195	HANNIG ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ERNESTO GARCIA	3679				
All Participants:	Status of Application: Fina	a <u>l</u>				
(1) <u>ERNESTO GARCIA</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mr. Tim Mead</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008	Time: <u>10:40AM</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: none						
Claims discussed: none						
Prior art documents discussed: none						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Daniel P. Stodola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679						
(A _j	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to propose changes to place the application in condition for allowance since the after final amendment filed June 10, 2008 did not fully correct all of the issues raised. Mr. Mead informed the examiner that the attorney of record for this application, Mr. Lucas, would be out of the office for two weeks. The examiner will attempt to contact Mr. Lucas upon his return for approval of the proposed changes.